

The 10th November, 1982

No. ID/HSR/81/82/50596.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Anurodh Yadav and the management of M/s The Hansi Co-operative Spinning Mill Ltd., Hansi (Hissar), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Anurodh Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/HSR/70/82/50602.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Surinder Kumar and the management of M/s The Hansi Co-operative Spinning Mill Ltd., Hansi (Hissar), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Surinder Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 12th November, 1982

No. ID/RTK/112/82/50812.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Abhey Raj Yadav and the management of M/s Thakur Steel Tubes (P) Ltd., Bahadurgarh (Rohtak), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Abhey Raj Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/152/82/50819.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Bahadur and the management of M/s Nice Metal Industries Jaroda Gate, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Bahadur was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?